

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,379 11/05/2001		1/05/2001	Garrick Maenle	CYM-037	4705	
41696	7590	07/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	LAW GRotoga Avenu		FINEMAI	FINEMAN, LEE A		
Suite D-2		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Saratoga,	CA 95070		2872			

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/008,379	MAENLE ET AL.
Examiner ברנ-ורז -2318	Art Unit
Joshya L. Pritchett //	2872

	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date							
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef will not be entered	because				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
appeal; and/or	and a sumbar of finally re	signated plaims					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected ciaims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL -324)				
		omphant / menamen	(1 102 02 1)				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ v	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>130-144</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	ne date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant f	ails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.  Other:		F					
		Deced.	76				

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the Fairley device merely measures a magnitude of reflected light from which no image is generated. Fairley states an image is generated from the light collected from the target (col. 3 liens 29-35).